

## Rotherham Schools' Forum

**Venue:** Rockingham Professional Development Centre

**Date:** Friday, 26 June 2015

**Time:** 8.30 a.m.

### A G E N D A

1. Welcome and introductions.
2. Apologies for absence.
3. Declarations of Interest.
4. Minutes and matters arising from the previous meeting held on 24th April, 2015. (Pages 1 - 6)
5. High Needs' Block / SEMH update.
  - Chris Harrison.
6. Progress report on the buy-back of LA services.
7. Update on delegation of central funds to Academies
8. Update on Total School Budget 2015-2016.
9. Proposed dates for 2015-2016: -  
For discussion and agreement.

These suggested dates are based on the pattern of meetings in 2014/2015. All dates are Fridays and meetings are due to start at 8.30 am in the Rockingham Professional Development Centre: -

- 2<sup>nd</sup> October, 2015;
- 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2015;
- 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 (may need to be earlier dependent on DfE deadline?);
- 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2016;
- 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2016;
- 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.

**Terms of Reference documents included: -**

- Schools Forum operational and good practice guide – March, 2015;
- Schools Forum power and responsibilities 2015 to 2016.

**ROTHERHAM SCHOOLS' FORUM  
FRIDAY, 24TH APRIL, 2015**

**Present:-** P. Blackwell (Dinnington) (in the Chair).

**Learning Community Representatives:** - T. Mahon (Saint Bernard's), A. Abel and D. Naisbitt (Oakwood), C. Roberts (Wickersley), H. McLaughlin (Saint Pius), K. Sherburn (Rawmarsh), L. Pepper (Clifton), P. Di'lasio (Wales), R. Burman (Winterhill), D. Sutton (Maltby), R. Fone (Brinsworth), D. Silvester (Wath).

**Stakeholder Representatives:** - D. Ashmore (Teaching School), P. Bloor (PRUs), J. Mott (Special Schools), L. Redmile (Secondary Business Managers), J. Gray (Early Years PVI), P. Gerard (Early Years), A. Richardson (Secondary Governors), S. Brooke (Teacher Trade Union Representatives), M. Badger (Unison), P. Sayles (Rotherham Colleges).

**Officers in attendance:** - V. Njegic (Financial Services), J. Robertson (Financial Services), K. Borthwick (Director of Schools and Learning, CYPS), C. Harrison (SEND Lead), H. Etheridge (Legal and Democratic Services).

**Apologies were received from:** - Gill Alton (Represented by P. Sayles), J. Fearnley (Wingfield), J. Morrison (Swinton), and G. Gillard (Sheffield Diocese).

**109. ELECTION OF CHAIR OF THE ROTHERHAM SCHOOLS' FORUM FOR THE 2015/2016 FINANCIAL YEAR.**

Resolved: - That Mr. D. Naisbitt, Oakwood Learning Community Representative, be appointed to the role of Chair of the Rotherham Schools' Forum for the 2015/2016 financial year from the June, 2015, meeting.

**110. ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIR OF THE ROTHERHAM SCHOOLS' FORUM FOR THE 2015/2016 FINANCIAL YEAR.**

Resolved: - (1) That the role of Vice-Chair of the Rotherham Schools' Forum not be filled at the present time.

(2) That efforts continue to fill the role.

**111. CONFIRMATION OF LEARNING COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS FOR THE 2015/2016 FINANCIAL YEAR.**

Learning Community Representatives: -		Voting (and phase)	Substitute: -
<b>Aston</b>	Deborah Ball	Primary – maintained	
<b>Brinsworth</b>			

<b>Clifton</b>	Lynne Pepper	Primary maintained –	Mark Young Primary-academy
<b>Dinnington</b>	Chris Eccles / Ian Holburn	Secondary academy –	Chris Eccles / Ian Holburn
<b>Maltby</b>	David Sutton	Secondary academy –	Graham Hayward
<b>Oakwood</b>	David Naisbitt	Secondary academy –	Ann Abel
<b>Rawmarsh</b>	Key Sherburn	Primary academy –	
<b>St. Bernard's</b>	Terrance Mahon	Secondary academy –	
<b>St. Pius</b>	Helen McLaughlin	Primary maintained –	
<b>Swinton</b>	John Morrison	Secondary maintained –	
<b>Thrybergh</b>			
<b>Wales</b>	Pepe Di'lasio	Secondary academy –	
<b>Wath</b>	David Silvester	Primary maintained -	
<b>Wickersley</b>	Craig Roberts	Primary academy –	
<b>Wingfield</b>	Paula Dobbin	Primary maintained -	Joanne Cook
<b>Winterhill</b>	Roger Burman	Secondary maintained –	
<b>Stakeholders: -</b>			<b>Substitute: -</b>
<b>Special Schools</b>	Julie Mott		
<b>Nursery</b>	Peter Gerrard		
<b>Pupil Referral Units</b>	Paul Bloor		
<b>Teaching Schools</b>	David Ashmore		
<b>PVI Early Years</b>	Steve Scott		Jo Gray
<b>Primary Governor</b>	Sue Mallinder		
<b>Secondary Governor</b>	Alan Richards		
<b>Teaching Trade Unions</b>	Susan Brooke		Fred Sprague

<b>Support Staff Trade Union</b>	Unison / GMB		
<b>Diocese of Sheffield</b>	Geoff Gillard		
<b>Diocese of Hallam</b>			
<b>School Business Managers</b>	Laura Redmile		
<b>Colleges</b>	Gill Alton (Rotherham College)		Richard Williams (Thomas Rotherham College)

**112. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 6TH MARCH, 2015 AND MATTERS ARISING.**

The minutes of the previous meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> March, 2015, were considered.

Discussion was held on the issues relating to moving some budget decisions to 1st July, 2015, including the effect on the 2015/2016 budget and communication with the EFA for academies budgets.

In relation to item 104 'Note the letter of the Strategic Director, CYPS, the letter to schools and outline the implications of moving some budget decisions back to 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2015', it was requested that the paragraph on page 3 of the agenda pack be amended to (amendments in bold): -

The Winterhill Learning Community Representative advocated that the decision was taken with the view that no schools would want to opt out of this work. It was firmly believed that they would be happy to pay more for these Services **where appropriate**. With a traded service, schools would buy-in, there was no suggestion ~~that some would opt-out.~~ **services would not be seen as important work.**

Resolved: - That, with the amendments above, the minutes of the previous meeting be agreed as an accurate record.

**113. CHRIS HARRISON - REPORT AND OUTLINE OF HIS WORK ON INCLUSION AND SEND REVIEW.**

Chris Harrison, SEND Consultant, was welcomed to the meeting and to Rotherham. Chris had prepared a presentation for the Rotherham

Schools' Forum on the scope of his work for the Local Authority.

A copy of Chris' presentation slides were distributed to attendees.

Questions to Chris followed the presentation. The following issues were raised: -

- Examples of 'models of displacement';
- Comparison with other Local Authority areas. Nationally school staff were being cut to pay for spiralling High Needs costs. This prevented early intervention, which should be the highest priority;
- Was it statutory to provide Post-16 provision ? - Yes this responsibility had transferred from the Learning Council, although funding was insufficient;
- Rotherham's Headteachers' hearts and minds were engaged for the task ahead;
- Working with Health Services to increase their contribution;
- The choice of the Nottinghamshire Local Authority to close their PRUs.

Chris outlined the SEND Working Group that he was setting up. Any nominations or expressions of interest should be emailed to [Rachael.mchaffie@rotherham.gov.uk](mailto:Rachael.mchaffie@rotherham.gov.uk).

Resolved: - That the information presented be noted.

#### **114. PROPOSED TOTAL SCHOOLS BUDGET 2015/16 (ESTIMATE).**

The Director of Schools and Learning presented the report that showed the proposed Total Schools Budget for 2015/2016. This was based on the Dedicated Schools' Grant (DSG) settlement and the estimated Education Funding Agency (EFA) settlement.

The report stated that the Total School Budget for Rotherham was £220, 546, 522. An estimated recoupment in respect of Rotherham academies would be £81, 144,843.

The report outlined estimated adjustments and carry-forwards, including additions and reductions to the grant allocation and estimated the total funding available at £139, 441,555.

Appendix A showed the breakdown of the funding allocation to each service division.

Appendix B showed the proposed Total School Budget for 2015/2016 and how each Block contributed funding towards it.

The report outlined why, due to the pressures on the High Needs Block, it had been necessary to move £2.954m of funding from the Schools' Block and £432k from the Early Years' Block.

The Early Years' Block virement had been possible due to a lower take-up of the number of Two-year old places than originally estimated.

The movement of funding from the Schools' Block to the High Needs' Block still allowed the Minimum Funding Guarantee as required by the DfE. Year-on-year, no school would lose more than 1.5% funding per pupil in their delegated budget share. This action resulted in an increase maximum of 0.71% for those who had gained.

The estimated net forecast outturn position carried forward from 2014/2015 into 2015/2016 was an underspend of £173,901.

Discussion followed and the following questions were raised: -

- How was the Schools' Block reduction arrived at? - This had been based on consideration ensuring the protection for losers and capped gainers and with consideration for special school budgets;
- The AWPU value? - This would stay the same at a time of rising pupil numbers;
- The impact on capped gaining schools could be devastating.

Resolved: - That the report be received and its content noted.

#### **115. EDUCATION SUPPORT GRANT.**

Consideration was given to the report presented by the Director for Schools and Learning that outlined the use of the Education Support Grant (ESG) in Rotherham.

This grant was not ring-fenced. There was no requirement for it to be spent on central educational services. Local Authorities were able to determine how the funding was spent to support local priorities. In Rotherham, funding not used to support central education services was used to support Children and Young People's Services. The grant reduced as more academies opened.

The report outlined the uses of the ESG in 2014/2015. The budget headings funded were central support services, education welfare, school improvement, education asset management and education statutory regulatory duties.

Resolved: - That the report be received and its content noted.

#### **116. SCHOOLS IN FINANCIAL DIFFICULTY - UPDATE REPORT.**

The Director for Schools and Learning presented the report that outlined the Schools in Financial Difficulty Funding (SiFD) that the Local Authority held on behalf of maintained primary schools. Maintained primary schools were able to apply for support from this contingency fund where

necessary.

A process involving colleagues from the School, Finance, Human Resources and the School Effectiveness Service was followed.

The 2014/2015 budget was initially £100,000. This was reduced in-year to £95, 609 following academy conversions. Only one School had been allocated funding during 2014/2015.

There was a surplus balance of £45, 609. It was proposed that this be carried forward into 2015/2016.

Increased teachers' pension costs may significantly impact on individual school budgets. During 2015/2016 there was the potential for a small number of schools to slip into financial difficulties.

The maintained primary sector members of the Rotherham Schools' Forum voted to de-delegate £75,000 from the 2015/2016 primary maintained individual budgets.

Resolved: - That the report be received and its content noted.

**117. UPDATE ON EXTERNAL COMMISSIONERS.**

An update was provided on the five Commissioners controlling Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council including their portfolios of responsibilities.

**118. DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING: -**

Resolved: - That the next meeting of the Rotherham Schools' Forum take place on Friday 26<sup>th</sup> June, 2015, to start at 8.30 am in the Rockingham Professional Development Centre.





Education  
Funding  
Agency

# **Schools forum**

**Operational and good practice guide**

**March 2015**

## Contents

Introduction	4
Section 1 – schools forum regulations: constitution and procedural issues	5
Regulations	5
Schools forum powers	5
Membership	7
Term of office	7
Schools members	8
Election and nomination of schools members	9
Election and nomination of academies members	11
Non-schools members	11
Other membership issues	12
The role of executive elected members	13
Recording the composition of schools forums	13
Observers	14
Participation of local authority officers at meetings	14
Procedures	14
Public access	16
Working groups	16
Urgent business	16
Resources of the schools forum	17
Section 2 – effective schools forums	18
Introduction	18
Induction of new members	19
Training	19
Agenda setting	19
Preparation for a schools forum meeting	20
Chairing the schools forum	21
Clerking the schools forum	21
Good practice for schools forum meetings	22
Meeting notes and recording of decisions	23

Communication	23
News updates	24

## Introduction

1. This guide is designed to provide local authority officers and school forum elected members with advice and information on good practice in relation to the operation of schools forums.
2. It is organised in two sections:
  - Section 1 provides information on the constitutional and organisational requirements for schools forums; and
  - Section 2 covers a number of key aspects of the operation of schools forums at local level, drawing on good practice from a number of schools forums.
3. The guide draws on the experience and knowledge of schools forum members, local authority members and officers and the Department and its partners. Other than where it is describing requirements set out in the Schools Forum Regulations 2012 it is not designed to be prescriptive – what is good practice in one schools forum may not be appropriate in another, given the diverse circumstances of local areas. However, it is hoped the guide will stimulate some debate within schools forums and contribute to their ongoing development.
4. The Department hopes that schools forums and local authorities find this guide useful. It has been the subject of consultation with a wide variety of external partners. In particular, members of the Department’s Schools and Academies Funding Group, made up of representatives from central and local government, teaching associations, unions representing support staff as well as organisations representing academies and governors, have provided valuable input and advice on the content of the guide. The Department is grateful for their assistance.
5. The Department’s website contains details of all the announcements, documents and other information relating to school funding and schools forums. This website also has a range of useful links to other sites that may be of relevance to schools forum members.
6. A short [guide to the schools forum for schools and academies](#), which may be helpful to stakeholders and the wider school family, is available on GOV.UK.
7. If you have any queries about the operation of schools forums please contact [schools forum team](#) at the Education Funding Agency

The postal address is:

Education Funding Agency  
Sanctuary Buildings  
Great Smith Street  
Westminster  
London  
SW1P 3BT

## Section 1 – schools forum regulations: constitution and procedural issues

### Regulations

8. [National regulations](#)<sup>1</sup> govern the composition, constitution and procedures of schools forums. Local authorities can provide schools forum members with a copy of these regulations or alternatively they can be accessed at:
9. A short [guide to the schools forum for schools and academies](#) is also available to provide a wider understanding of the work of schools forums.

### Schools forum powers

10. Schools forums generally have a consultative role. However, there are situations in which they have decision-making powers. The respective roles of schools forums, local authorities and the DfE are summarised in [schools forum powers and responsibilities](#). The overarching areas on which schools forums make decisions on local authority proposals are:

- De-delegation from mainstream schools budgets (separate approval will be required by the primary and secondary phase members of schools forum), for prescribed services to be provided centrally.
- To create a fund for significant pupil growth in order to support the local authority's duty for place planning (basic need), including pre-opening and diseconomy of scale costs, and agree the criteria for maintained schools and academies to access this fund.
- To create a fund for falling rolls for good or outstanding schools if the schools' surplus capacity is likely to be needed within the next three years to meet rising pupil numbers and agree the criteria for maintained schools and academies to access this fund.
- Continued funding at existing levels for prescribed historic commitments where the effect of delegating this funding would be destabilising.
- Funding for the local authority in order to meet prescribed statutory duties placed upon it. Approval is required to confirm the amounts for each duty and no new commitments or increases in expenditure from 2013/14 are permitted unless agreed by the Secretary of State.
- Funding for central early years expenditure, which may include funding for checking eligibility of pupils for an early years place, the early years pupil premium and/or free school meals.

---

<sup>1</sup> Schools Forums (England) Regulations 2012 (S.I. 2012/2261) (as amended)

- Authorising a reduction in the schools budget in order to fund a deficit arising in central expenditure that is to be carried forward from a previous funding period.
- In each of these cases, the local authority can appeal to the Secretary of State if the schools forum rejects its proposal.

11. Local Authorities should be aware that the provisions of the [Local Government Act 2000](#) restrict the delegation of local authority decisions to Cabinet, a member of Cabinet, a Committee of Cabinet or an officer of the Council, which would not include schools forums. As a result the local authority cannot delegate its decision making powers to schools forum, e.g. decisions on the funding formula.

12. Regulations state that the local authority must consult the schools forum annually in connection with various schools budget functions, namely:

- amendments to the school funding formula, for which the voting is restricted by the exclusion of non-schools members except for PVI representatives
- arrangements for the education of pupils with special educational needs in particular the places to be commissioned by the LA and schools and the arrangements for paying top-up funding
- arrangements for the use of pupil referral units and the education of children otherwise than at school, in particular the places to be commissioned by the LA and schools and the arrangements for paying top-up funding
- arrangements for early years provision
- administrative arrangements for the allocation of central government grants paid to schools via the local authority

There is no specific definition of these consultation requirements over and above the wording in the regulations. It is a matter for the local authority to decide on the appropriate level of detail it needs to generate a sufficiently informed response from schools forum.

13. Consultation must also take place when a local authority is proposing a contract for supplies and services which is to be funded from the Schools Budget and is in excess of the EU procurement thresholds. The consultation must cover the terms of the contract at least one month prior to the issue of invitations to tender.

14. The schools forum has the responsibility of informing the governing bodies of all schools maintained by the local authority of the results of any consultations carried out by the local authority relating to the issues in paragraphs 12 and 13 above.

15. Local authorities will need to discuss with the schools forum any proposals that they intend to put to the Secretary of State to:

- vary the MFG,
- use exceptional factors
- vary pupil numbers
- allow additional categories of, or spending on, central budgets

- amend the sparsity factor
- vary the lump sum for amalgamating schools
- vary the protection for special schools and special academies

Proposals will then need to be approved by the Secretary of State.

## Membership

16. The Schools Forums Regulations provide a framework for the appointment of members, but allow a considerable degree of discretion in order to accommodate local priorities and practice. A quick guide to the [structure of the schools forums](#) is available.

17. There is no maximum or minimum size of a schools forum. Authorities will wish to take various issues into account in deciding the actual size, including the need to have full representation for various types of school, and the local authority's policy on representation of non-schools members. However, care should be taken to keep the schools forum to a reasonable size to ensure that it does not become too unwieldy.

18. Types of member: schools forums must have 'schools members', 'academies member(s)' if there is at least one academy in the local authority's area and 'non-schools members'. Schools and academies members together must number at least two-thirds of the total membership of the schools forum and the balance between maintained primary, maintained secondary and academies members must be broadly proportionate to the pupil numbers in each category, so the structure of Forum should be regularly reviewed, e.g. annually. Academies members must represent mainstream academies and, if there are any in the LA area, special academies and alternative provision academies. There is no requirement for academies members to represent specific primary and secondary phases, but it may be encouraged to ensure representation remains broadly proportionate to pupil numbers. Academy members must be separately elected and designated from maintained school representatives.

19. Schools forum members will need the skills and competencies to manage Forum business (as detailed in [school forum powers and responsibilities](#)) and to take a strategic view across the whole education estate whilst acting as representative of the group that has elected them. Furthermore, they should be easily contactable and pro-active in raising the profile of issues and communicate decisions, and the reasons behind them, effectively.

## Term of office

20. The term of office for each schools member and academies member should be stipulated by the local authority at the time of appointment. Such stipulation should follow published rules and be applied in a consistent manner between members. They need not have identical terms – there may be a case for varied terms so that there is continuity of experience rather than there being a complete change in the membership at a single

point. The term of office should not be of a length that would hinder the requirement for the structure of the schools forum to mirror the type of provision in light of the pace of academy conversions. Examples of how this may work include:

- Holding vacancies until the schools forum structure is reviewed providing that this does not mean holding vacancies for an unreasonable length of time
- Increasing the size of the schools forum temporarily to appoint additional academy members, then delete schools member posts at the end of a term of office or when a vacancy arises
- Consider continuity of service – where an academy conversion affects the school of a current schools member, would academies consider appointing that person as an academies member?

21. The length of term of office for non-schools members is at the discretion of the local authority. Schools and academies must be informed, within a month of the appointment of any non-schools member, of the name of the member and the name of the body that that member represents.

22. As well as the term of office coming to an end, a member ceases to be a member of the Schools Forum if he or she resigns from the schools forum or no longer occupies the office by which he or she became eligible for election, selection or appointment to the schools forum. For example, a secondary schools member must stand down if their school converts to an academy. A schools member representing community primary school governors who is no longer a governor of a community primary school in the relevant local authority must cease to hold office on the schools forum even if they remain a governor of a school represented by another group or sub-group. Other situations in which membership of the schools forum ends are if a member gives notice in writing to the local authority and, in the case of a non-schools member, the member is replaced by the local authority, for example at the request of the body which the member represents.

## **Schools members**

23. Schools members represent specified phases or types of maintained schools within the local authority. As a minimum, schools forums must contain representatives of two groups of schools: primary and secondary schools, unless there are no primary or secondary schools maintained by the LA. Middle schools and all through schools are treated according to their deemed status.

24. Where a local authority maintains one or more special schools the schools forum must have at least one schools member from that sector. The same applies to nursery schools and pupil referral units (PRUs).

25. The local authority then has discretion to divide the groups referred to in paragraph 16 and 17 into one or more of the following sub-groups:



- headteachers or headteachers' representatives in each group;
- governors in each group;
- headteachers or headteachers representatives and governors in each group;
- representatives of a particular school category, e.g. voluntary aided.

26. Headteachers can be represented by other senior members of staff within their school. Governors can include interim executive members of an interim executive board. The sub-groups do not have to be of equal size – for example, there may be more representatives of headteachers of primary schools than governors of such schools, or vice versa. The membership structure of the schools forum should ensure there is sufficient representation of each type of schools member in each group to ensure that debate within the schools forum is balanced and representative. As a minimum, there must be at least one representative of headteachers and one representative of governors among the schools members.

27. Whatever the membership structure of schools members on a schools forum, the important issue is that it should reflect most effectively the profile of education provision across the local authority to ensure that there is not an in-built bias towards any one phase or group.

## **Election and nomination of schools members**

28. The relevant group or sub-group is probably best placed to determine how their schools members should be elected.

29. It is good practice for those who draw up the scheme to ensure that a vacancy amongst a represented group would be filled by a nominee elected according to a process that has been determined by all those represented in that group, e.g. community primary school headteachers, or secondary school governors, ensuring that everyone represented has had the opportunity to stand for election and/or vote in such an election. The process must be restricted to the group in question – a headteachers phase group could only vote as a whole for headteacher members if the voting excluded academies, as academies members form a separate group.

30. It is not appropriate for a single person to be elected to represent more than one group or sub-group concurrently, i.e. if they were a governor at a primary and secondary school. They can stand for election from either group but can be appointed to represent only one of those groups.

31. The purpose of ensuring that each group or sub-group is responsible for their election process is to guarantee that there is a transparent and representative process by which members of schools forums are nominated to represent their constituents.

32. Appropriate support to each group or sub-group to manage their election processes should be offered by the clerk of a schools forum, or the committee/democratic services of a local authority. This may just include the provision of

advice but may also consist of providing administrative support in actually running the elections themselves.

33. As a minimum, we would recommend that the clerk of a schools forum make a record of the process by which the relevant schools within each group and sub-group elect their nominees to the schools forum and be able to advise the Chair of the schools forum and local authority on action that needs to be taken, where necessary, to seek new nominees.

34. In determining the process by which elections should be operated it is perfectly legitimate for a local authority to devise, in consultation with their schools forum, a model scheme for the relevant schools within a group or sub-group to consider and be invited to adopt. However, such a model scheme cannot be imposed on that body of schools: adaptations and/or alternative schemes may be adopted. A single scheme need not be adopted universally.

35. Care should be taken to ensure that every eligible member of a group or sub-group has an opportunity to be involved in the determination of their group's election process, is given the opportunity to stand for election if they choose to do so, and is involved in the election of their representative(s).

36. It would not be compliant with the regulations for the steering committee or Chair of a 'parent' group simply to make a nomination to represent their group or sub-group on a schools forum. Schools members must be elected, subject to paragraph 39 below.

37. The local authority may set a date by which the election should take place and must appoint the schools member if the election has not taken place by that date. The person appointed should be a member of the relevant group.

38. We would recommend that any scheme takes into account a number of factors:

- the process for collecting names of those wishing to stand for election;
- the timescale for notifying all constituents of the election and those standing;
- the arrangements for dispatching and receiving ballots;
- the arrangements for counting and publicising the results;
- any arrangements for unusual circumstances such as only one candidate standing in an election; and
- whether existing members can stand for re-election.

39. In the event of a tie between two or more candidates, then the local authority must appoint the schools member instead. The local authority may decide to appoint someone else rather than one of the candidates and might wish to take into account the experience or expertise of the individuals, and the balance between the different types of school represented on the schools forum.

## **Election and nomination of academies members**

40. Academies members must be elected by the proprietor bodies of the academies in the local authority's area, and they are probably best placed to determine the process. Academies members are there to represent the proprietor bodies of academies and are, therefore, not necessarily restricted to principals, senior staff or governors. The same factors should be taken into account as for the election of schools members, set out in paragraphs 28 to 39. For the avoidance of doubt, Free Schools, University Technical Colleges and Studio Schools are classed as academies for this purpose. There is no distinction between sponsored, non-recoupment and converter academies.

41. There are three sub-groups for academy members: mainstream academies, special academies and alternative provision academies and it is for the proprietors of academies within each of these sub-groups to elect their representatives. It is not appropriate, therefore, for headteacher phase groups to determine representation unless the academy proprietors have agreed and even then the voting would need to exclude maintained school representatives. There is no requirement for academies members to be split into primary and secondary sub-groups. However, local authorities may wish to encourage academies to consider the pupil proportions across all academies when electing their representatives.

42. Where there is only one academy in a sub-group in the local authority's area, then their proprietor body must select the person who will represent them.

43. It is possible that a single person be appointed as an academies member to more than one schools forum, for example if an academy chain is located across multiple local authorities, providing they are elected on each occasion in accordance with the agreed election process for each separate schools forum.

44. As with schools members, the local authority may set a date by which the election should take place and must appoint an academies member if the election does not take place by that date, or if an election results in a tie between two or more candidates.

## **Non-schools members**

45. Non-schools members may number no more than a third of a schools forum's total membership (excluding observers – see paragraph 58). A representative of providers of 16-19 education must be elected from those providers. This includes those in the FE sector (FE and sixth form colleges) and other post-school institutions that specialise in special education needs (SPNs), where 20% or more of their students reside in the local authority's area. As with academies the providers are probably best placed to determine the election process.

46. The local authority must appoint at least one person to represent early years providers from the private, voluntary and independent (PVI) sector. Early years PVI settings need to be represented because funding for the free entitlement for three and

four year olds and eligible two year olds comes from the Dedicated Schools Grant, and all settings are funded through the Early Years Single Funding Formula (EYSFF).

47. Before appointing additional non-schools members to the schools forum, the local authority must consider whether the Church of England and Roman Catholic dioceses situated in the local authority's area; and, where there are schools or academies in the area with a different religious character, the appropriate faith group, should be represented on the schools forum. If diocesan authorities nominate members for appointment as non-schools members they may wish to consider what type of representative would be most appropriate – schools-based such as a headteacher or governor, or someone linked more generally with the diocese, e.g. a member of the education board.

48. It is also good practice for local authorities to ensure that the needs and interests of all the pupils in the local authority are adequately represented by the members of a schools forum. The interests of pupils in maintained schools can be represented by schools members. Some pupils in a local authority, however, are not in maintained schools but instead are educated in hospitals, independent special schools and non-maintained special schools. Certain types of non-schools members can play an important role in representing the interests of these groups of pupils. They can also play a role in representing the interests and views of the services that support those groups of vulnerable and at-risk pupils who nevertheless are on the roll of maintained schools, such as looked after children and children with special educational needs.

49. The purpose of non-schools members is also to bring greater breadth of discussion to schools forum meetings and ensure that stakeholders and partners other than schools are represented. Organisations which typically provide non-schools members are trades unions, professional associations and representatives of youth groups. Parent groups could also be considered. However, as there are clearly limited numbers of non-schools members able to be on a schools forum, care should be taken to ensure that an appropriate representation from wider stakeholders is achieved.

## Other membership issues

50. There are three restrictions placed on who can be a non-schools member of a schools forum. The local authority cannot appoint:

- an elected member of the local authority who is appointed to the executive of that local authority (a lead member/portfolio holder) 'executive members',
- the Director of Children's Services or any officer employed or engaged to work under the management of the Director of Children's Services, and who does not directly provide education to children (or manage those who do) ('relevant officer' (a) and (b)),
- other officers with a specific role in management of and/or who advise on funding for schools ('relevant officer' part (c)).

51. Schools forums have the power to approve a limited range of proposals from their local authority: the restrictions ensure that there is no conflict of interest between the proposing body (the local authority) and the approving body (the schools forum).

52. However, non-executive elected members and those officers who are employed in their capacity as headteachers or teachers and those who directly manage a service which provides education to individual children and/or advice to schools on, for example, learning and behavioural matters are eligible to be members of schools forums.

53. In the case of non-executive elected members, they may be a schools member (by virtue of them being a school governor), an academies member or a non-schools member. As a non-schools member they may be well placed to fulfil the broader overview and scrutiny role they have within the local authority in general.

54. However, the inclusion of non-executive elected members and certain officers is not a requirement. Many schools forums do not have such members on them and it is for each local authority and schools forum to consider how best to ensure the right balance of school and non-school representation on the schools forum, taking into account their local circumstances and preferences.

## **The role of executive elected members**

55. A schools forum needs to ensure that there are systems in place for executive members of the Council to be aware of its views on specific issues and, in particular, any decisions it takes in relation to the Schools Budget and individual budget shares.

56. Executive members with responsibility for education/children's services or resources of the local authority are able to participate in schools forum meetings. By doing so such elected members are able to contribute to the discussion and receive first-hand the views of the schools forum: it is clearly good practice for this to be the case and the regulations provide the right for executive members to attend and speak at schools forum meetings. However, there is no requirement for this to happen so at the very least there should be clear channels of communication between the schools forum and executive members. Communication may also be assisted if schools forum members attended relevant Cabinet meetings as members of the public, e.g. when the funding formula is decided.

## **Recording the composition of schools forums**

57. Each local authority must make a written record of the composition of its schools forum detailing the numbers of schools members and by which group or sub-group they were elected, the number of academies members and the number of non-schools members, their terms of office, how they were chosen and whom they represent. This record should also indicate the term of office for schools and academies members. It

would be helpful if this were published on the schools forum website so schools and wider stakeholders can easily find who their representatives are.

## Observers

58. The Regulations provide that the Secretary of State can appoint an observer to attend and speak at schools forum meetings, e.g. a representative from the Education Funding Agency (EFA). This allows a conduit for national policy to be discussed at a local level and provide access for schools forum to an additional support mechanism, e.g. where there are highly complex issues to resolve.

## Participation of local authority officers at meetings

59. Only specific officers can speak at meetings of the schools forum. These officers are:

- Director of Children's Services or their representative
- Chief Financial Officer or their representative
- Any person invited by the schools forum to provide financial or technical advice
- Any person presenting a paper to the schools forum but their ability to speak is limited to the paper that they are presenting.

60. In the majority of cases schools forums are supported by a specific officer. In the course of their work, however, schools forums will be required to consider a whole range of issues and they may consider it appropriate that other officers attend for specific items of business. Where this is the case, the local authority should meet the schools forum's requests as far as possible.

## Procedures

61. Many procedural matters are not prescribed in the regulations and are at the discretion either of the local authority or the schools forum itself. However, there are requirements in the regulations relating to:

- **Quorum:** A meeting is only quorate if 40% of the total membership is present (this excludes any observers, and it is 40% of the current membership excluding vacancies). If a meeting is inquorate it can proceed but it cannot legally take decisions (e.g. election of a Chair, or a decision relating to funding conferred by the funding regulations). An inquorate meeting can respond to local authority consultation, and give views to the local authority. It would normally be good practice for the local authority to take account of such 'unofficial' views, but it is not legally obliged to do so. In practice, the arrangements for meetings should be made to reduce the chance of a problem with the quorum. The quorum stipulation is in the regulations to help ensure the legitimacy of decisions;

- **Election of a Chair:** Under the Regulations, if the position of Chair falls vacant the schools forum must decide how long the term of office of the next Chair will be. This can be for any period, but the schools forum should consider carefully whether a period exceeding two years is sensible. A long period will also cause problems if the member elected as Chair has a term of office as a member which comes to an end before their term of office as Chair ends. The schools forum must elect a Chair from amongst its own members, so it is not possible to elect an independent Chair. In addition any elected member of the local authority or officer of the local authority who is a member of a schools forum may not hold the office of Chair. Schools forums can also appoint to a position of vice Chair to provide cover if the Chair is absent or the post vacant;
- **Voting procedures:** The Regulations provide that a schools forum may determine its own voting procedures save that voting on:
  - the funding formula is limited to schools members, academies members and PVI representatives
  - de-delegation will be limited to the specific primary and secondary phase of maintained schools members.
- **The powers which schools forums** have to take decisions on a range of funding matters increase the importance of clear procedures, e.g. decisions are made on a simple majority or the threshold to be met if higher. These procedures should take account of any use of working groups by the schools forum – for example a decision might be taken by voting to accept and adopt a report by a working group (see paragraph 65). As part of any voting procedure there should be clarity in the procedures for recording the outcome of a vote, and any resolutions a schools forum makes in relation to any vote taken;
- **Substitutes:** The local authority must make arrangements to enable substitutes to attend and vote (where appropriate) at schools forum meetings. This applies to schools members, academies members and non-schools members. The arrangements must be decided in consultation with schools forum members.
- **Defects and vacancies:** The Regulations provide that proceedings of the schools forum are not invalidated by defects in the election or appointment of any member, or the appointment of the Chair. Nor does the existence of any vacancy on the schools forum invalidate proceedings (see paragraph 61 on quorum).
- **Timing:** schools forums must meet at least four times a year

62. Where the regulations make no provision on a procedural matter, local discretion should be exercised. It is for the local authority to decide how far it wishes to establish rules for the schools forum to follow, in the form of standing orders. While it is entitled to do so, it is of course good practice to allow the schools forum to set its own rules so far as possible.

## Public access

63. Schools forums are more than just consultative bodies. They also have an important role to play in approving certain proposals from their local authority and are therefore involved in the decision making process surrounding the use of public money at local level. As a result schools forums are required to be open to the public. Furthermore papers, agendas and minutes must be publicly available well in advance of each meeting. It is good practice that notification that the schools forum is a public meeting is included on the website and papers are published at least a week in advance. Local authorities should ensure that the websites are accessible and easy to find.

64. Some schools forums already operate very much along the lines of a local authority committee. This is perfectly legitimate and will provide a consistent framework for the running of meetings that are open to the public, and the publishing of papers and agendas well in advance of the meeting and minutes published promptly as required under Regulation 8(13) of the Schools Forum Regulations 2012.

## Working groups

65. It is open to a schools forum to set up working groups of members to discuss specific issues, and to produce draft advice and decisions for the schools forum itself to consider. The groups can also include wider representation - for example, an early years reference group can represent all the different types of provider to consider the detail of the early years single funding formula. The reference group would then be able to give its considered view on the local authority's proposals to the schools forum. The schools forum should not delegate actual decisions or the finalisation of advice to a working group, as this may have the effect of excluding legitimate points of view.

## Urgent business

66. It is good practice for the local authority to agree with its schools forum an urgency procedure to be followed when there is a genuine business need for a decision or formal view to be expressed by the schools forum, before the next scheduled meeting. The local authority may of course call an unscheduled meeting; but it may also wish to put in place alternative arrangements such as clearance by email correspondence or some other means. Such instances should be avoided so far as possible but are legitimate provided all members of the schools forum have an opportunity to participate, the logistics provide a reasonable opportunity for consideration and the local authority policy on data security is not compromised.

67. It is not legal for the Chair to take a decision on behalf of the schools forum, no matter how urgent the matter in question; but a schools forum may wish to put in place a procedure for the Chair to give the local authority a view on an urgent issue.



## Resources of the schools forum

68. The costs of a schools forum fall in the centrally retained budget portion of the Schools Block of local authorities.

69. It is legitimate to charge the running costs of schools forums to this budget including any agreed and reasonable expenses for members attending meetings, the costs of producing and distributing papers and costs room hire and refreshments and for clerking of meetings. Beyond these costs some schools forums have a budget of their own to use for activities such as commissioning research or other reports. The [2014 School and Early Years Finance Regulations](#) provide that the level of resource devoted to running schools forums in 2015-16 is limited to 2014-15 levels unless the Secretary of State agrees an increase.

## Section 2 – effective schools forums

### Introduction

70. As the previous section outlined, local authorities have responsibility for establishing schools forums. They also have an ongoing responsibility to provide them with appropriate support, information and guidance in carrying out their functions and responsibilities.

71. The following outlines some aspects of what local authorities and schools forums should consider in ensuring that their schools forums are as effective as possible. The pace of academy conversions in particular means that this significant sector must be properly represented and feel that it is able to play a meaningful part in the discussions of the schools forum.

72. Central to the effectiveness or otherwise of a schools forum will be the relationship between it and its local authority. The local authority will have a significant influence on this: the support it provides; the resources it devotes and the weight it gives to the views of schools forums all contribute to the nature of the relationship. There are therefore a number of characteristics of this relationship that are particularly important:

- Partnership: Having a shared understanding of the priorities, issues and concerns of schools, academies and the local authority.
- Effective Support: The business of the schools forum is supported by the local authority in an efficient and professional manner.
- Openness: It is important that a schools forum feels it is receiving open, honest and objective advice from its local authority.
- Responsiveness: Local authorities should as far as possible be responsive to requests from their schools forums and their members. Schools forums themselves should also be aware of the resource implications of their requests.
- Strategic view: Members of schools forum should consider the needs of the whole of the educational community, rather than using their position on a schools forum to advance their own sectional or specific interests.
- Challenge and Scrutiny: schools forums may be asked to agree to proposals from their local authority that will have an effect on all schools and academies in the local area. The extent to which schools forums can scrutinise and challenge such proposals is an important aspect of their effectiveness.

73. The characteristics identified above are just some of the aspects that will contribute to an effective schools forum. The following provides more detail on some of the specific issues that local authorities and schools forums may wish to consider in thinking about their own arrangements.

## Induction of new members

74. When new members join the schools forum appropriate induction materials should be provided. These might include material relating to the operation of the schools forum together with background information about the local and national school funding arrangements. Typically they might comprise:

- the constitution of the schools forum
- a list of members including contact details and their terms of office
- any locally agreed terms of reference explaining the relationship between the schools forum and the local authority
- copies of minutes of previous meetings
- the programme of schools forum meetings for the year
- the local schools forum web address

75. This Operational and Good Practice Guide, suitably supplemented by local material, should also be provided to new members on their appointment.

76. Where there is sufficient turnover of schools forum members in any particular year the local authority may wish to organise a one-off induction event to brief new members. Such an event would usefully include an outline of the role of the schools forum and the national funding arrangements for schools and local authorities. It might also include an explanation of the local funding formula and any proposals for review. The opportunity could also be taken to explain the main reporting requirements for school and local authority expenditure.

## Training

77. Ideally schools forum members should be able to use some of the budget set aside for schools forum running costs for accessing relevant training activities. Some training will be provided by officers of the local authority but members may wish to attend national or regional events, the costs of which, where necessary, can be supported from the schools forum budget. Local and national bodies have a key role to play in developing the competencies of forum members.

78. Training will need to be provided in response to any changes in the role of the schools forum and national developments in respect of school funding.

## Agenda setting

79. The process by which the agenda for a meeting or cycle of meetings is set is in many respects one of the key determinants of the effectiveness or otherwise of a schools forum.

80. The frequency and timing of meetings of the schools forum should be agreed in advance of each financial or academic year. In drawing up this cycle of meetings, in

consultation with the schools forum, the local authority should provide a clear overview of the key consultative and decision-making points in the school funding cycle. These will be drawn from a combination of national and local information and should inform the basic agenda items that each meeting needs to cover. For instance meetings will need to be scheduled at appropriate points to enable the schools forum to consider the outcomes of local consultations and national announcements.

81. Although the business of schools forums must be open and transparent, it is recognised that from time to time items of a confidential nature will need to be discussed. It is recommended that authorities apply the same principles that they apply to Council/Cabinet meetings when judging an item to be confidential and adopt similar practices for dealing with those reports in the meeting, e.g. placing them together at the end of the agenda.

## **Preparation for a schools forum meeting**

82. It is vital that the schools forum is transparent, open and has clear communication lines to all of the members that are represented. This ensures the wider school family are aware of the business discussed, the impact on their setting and the reasons for the decisions.

83. The vast majority of a schools forum's business will be transacted on the basis of prepared papers. It is therefore important that these are concise, informative and produced in a timely and consistent manner. Recommendations should be clearly set out at the beginning of each report. It is also helpful if the front of the report confirms whether the report is for information or decision and who is eligible to vote where relevant.

84. It is good practice for the schools forum and local authority to agree a standard for papers. It is usual for papers to be dispatched at least one week prior to the meeting at which they will be discussed to allow members to consider them and if necessary canvass views from the group they are representing. Papers should be published on the local authority's website at this time to enable representations to be made to schools forum members.

85. Consistency in the presentation of papers also contributes to the effectiveness of meetings: it helps set the tone of meetings, facilitate the engagement of all members and signal the importance the local authority attaches to the work of the schools forum. Ideally such a standard should be agreed between the schools forum and local authority. The publishing of papers as a single pdf file is helpful as it saves time and avoids accessing multiple documents both in advance of, and during, the meeting. An Executive Summary of the reports can provide schools forum members and members of the public with an overview of the agenda and the decisions required.

86. The publishing of papers on a publicly available website well in advance of the meeting ensures that all interested parties are able to access papers. Some schools forums ensure that each represented group meets in the days immediately prior to the

schools forum meeting to ensure the agenda is discussed and schools forum members are properly briefed by the group they represent. Although on occasions it is inevitable that schools forums will receive late, or tabled reports it does create some difficulty for members as they will not have been able to seek the views of those they represent.

87. Schools forums can consider adopting a flexible arrangement for time immediately prior to the meeting. For example it could be used for training of new members, or as a drop-in session for members to ask items of clarification, or for members to meet without officers to discuss the agenda.

### **Chairing the schools forum**

88. The Chair of a schools forum plays a key role in setting the tone, pace and overall dynamic of the schools forum. They should provide an environment within which all members are able to contribute fully to discussions and guide the schools forum to making well informed decisions.

89. The relationship between the Chair and the local authority is therefore vital. The Chair should be very clear on the substance of the agenda items, understand the issues involved and the decisions and/or actions that need to be taken in respect of School Forum business. It is good practice for there to be a pre-meeting between the senior officer of the local authority supporting the schools forum and the Chair of the schools forum to ensure that all the issues are clearly understood.

90. Equally, the Chair has the responsibility of representing the views of the schools forum back to the local authority: for instance, they should, where appropriate, take the initiative to make suggestions for improvements to the way the business is conducted, and, in exceptional cases and with support of the members of the schools forum take the view that they do not have sufficient information on which to base a decision and ask that an item is deferred until further information is available. However, in doing so, the Chair and schools forum should be fully aware of the consequences of deferral.

91. The independence of the schools forum is paramount. Enhancing the role of Chair to a paid position, rather than the reimbursement of reasonable expenses, could blur the lines of independence. Similarly, if the Chair undertakes significant work for the LA in another capacity, e.g. as an external consultant, they could be viewed as equivalent to an officer of the local authority.

92. Local authorities could consider if sharing contact details of the schools forum Chair with neighbouring authorities would be helpful for peer support and improving networking opportunities.

### **Clerking the schools forum**

93. Clerking of a schools forum should be seen as more than just writing a note of the meeting. A good clerk provides an invaluable link between the members of the schools

forum, the Chair and the local authority. It is a role often undertaken by an employee of the local authority though we would recommend consideration is given to the use of an independent clerk.

94. Clerks should manage the logistics of the meeting in terms of ensuring dispatch of papers and producing a note from the meeting. In considering the style of meeting notes consideration should be given to making them intelligible enough for non-attendees to get a sense of the discussion as well as clearly indicating the conclusion and action agreed in relation to each agenda item. Verbatim reports of a schools forum's discussion, however, are unlikely to be very useful. Schools forums may consider whether a simple action log should be maintained by the clerk to ensure all action points agreed are followed up.

95. Beyond this a good clerk can:

- provide the route by which schools forum members can access further information and co-ordinate communication to schools forum members outside of the formal meeting cycle;
- respond to any queries about the business of the schools forum from headteachers, governors and others who are not on the schools forum themselves;
- be responsible for ensuring contact details of all members are up to date;
- maintain the list of members on the schools forum and advise on membership issues in general;
- assist with the co-ordination of nomination/election processes run by the constituent groups;
- keep the schools forum website up to date: e.g. by posting latest minutes and papers etc;
- monitor, on a regular basis, the schools forum and general Schools Funding section of the Department for Education (DfE) website or the gov.uk website; and arrange for the distribution of any relevant DfE information to schools forum members;
- if appropriate, provide technical advice in relation to the schools forum regulations and in relation to the operation of a schools forum's local constitution; and
- organise, operate and record any voting activity of the schools forum in line with the provisions of its local constitution.

96. Not all of these tasks may be able to be undertaken by the schools forum clerk. However, each one is important and there should be arrangements in place to ensure they are discharged adequately.

### **Good practice for schools forum meetings**

97. Schools forums should ensure there is a clear debate of all agenda items. Whilst sub-group meetings are valuable in working through detailed issues, schools forums

should consider that the level of debate held at the schools forum meeting and recorded in the minutes will be the official reflection of the level of challenge and discussion on each issue.

98. The use of nameplates for schools forum members also showing which group they are representing can be helpful to members of the public and presenters of papers. In addition the use of coloured cards or coloured nameplates can be helpful when specific members of a schools forum are eligible to vote on specific items, e.g. de-delegation or changes to the funding formula.

99. Consultations with the schools forum are a key responsibility of a local authority, ranging from the funding formula to the letting of contracts. Each consultation will be different and depend on the subject being consulted on, but local authorities should consider the following factors as good practice for effective consultation:

- Plan and consult early
- Allow reasonable timescales for response (as Forum members may need to consult the groups they represent)
- An open and honest approach
- Fully inclusive
- Allow for ongoing dialogue
- Provide feedback
- Clear communications.

## Meeting notes and recording of decisions

100. A vital part of the effective operation of a schools forum is to ensure that an accurate record of the meeting is taken. This must include the clear recording of votes where there are contrary views. Recommendations to, and decisions of, schools forums must be clearly set out.

101. Notes or minutes of each schools forum meeting should be produced and put on the website as soon after the meeting as possible to enable members and others to see the outcome of any discussions and decisions/votes. It is good practice to formally agree the accuracy of the note/minutes at a subsequent meeting but the publication of the draft minutes should not be delayed as a result.

102. In order to provide clarity about representation at each meeting, it is good practice for the minutes to record the group and/or subgroup that each member represents against their name.

## Communication

103. Communication to the wider educational community of the discussions and debates of, and decisions made by, the schools forum is fundamental to their effective operation. The more schools and other stakeholders know about the proceedings of the

schools forum, the more their work will be an important and central part of the context of local educational funding. This is particularly important given the decision making role that the schools forum has. Local authorities should consider the operational differences between the types of stakeholders and plan their communications accordingly. For example ensuring effective communications across the PVI sector may be more difficult than with schools, who are more likely to have existing channels of communication e.g. headteacher meetings.

104. Each schools forum should therefore be clear what its channels of communication are. It is fundamental that each member of schools forum represents the views of the group or sub-group that they represent and that all those with an interest in funding work together to ensure that their views are taken into account. Therefore communications directly between members and those they represent is essential; professional associations and phase groups could be suitable channels. This will ensure that schools forum members have an ongoing dialogue with the constituents of their group or sub-group and are therefore well able to represent their views at schools forum meetings. However, the schools forum should also consider additional communication processes. These could include:

- drawing schools' attention to the fact that all its agenda, minutes and papers are publicly available on the local authority's website (this should include the publication of formula consultation documents);
- an annual report on the proceedings of the schools forum;
- attendance by the Chair, or other schools forum member, at other relevant consultative or management groups such as any capital working group; or senior management meetings of the Children's Services Department; or
- a brief email to all schools, early years providers and other stakeholders after each schools forum meeting informing them of the discussions and decisions with a link to the full papers and minutes for further information
- a schools forum newsletter can be a less formal and more interesting way of communicating forum business and raising the profile of the schools forum and its members.

### **News updates**

105. Most, but not all, members of the schools forum will already be in receipt of regular information on school funding matters from the local authority and DfE. Other schools forum members should be copied into such information flows so that they can be kept abreast of developments between meetings.

106. Many local authorities have already established dedicated schools forum websites on which they post key information for schools forum members and other interested parties.





Education  
Funding  
Agency

© Crown copyright 2015

This publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3)

email [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk)

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries [www.education.gov.uk/contactus](http://www.education.gov.uk/contactus)

download [www.gov.uk/government/publications](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications)

Reference: EFA-00134-2015



Follow us on Twitter:  
[@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)



Like us on Facebook:  
[facebook.com/educationgovuk](https://facebook.com/educationgovuk)



## Schools forum powers and responsibilities 2015 to 2016

A summary of the powers and responsibilities of schools forums.

Function	Local authority	Schools forum	DfE role
Formula change (including redistributions)	Proposes and decides	Must be consulted [voting restrictions apply - see schools forum structure document] and informs the governing bodies of all consultations	Checks for compliance with regulations
Contracts (where the LA is entering a contract to be funded from the schools budget)	Proposes at least one month prior to invitation to tender, the terms of any proposed contract	Gives a view and informs the governing bodies of all consultations	None
Financial issues relating to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>arrangements for pupils with special educational needs, in particular the places to be commissioned by the LA and schools and</li> </ul>	Consults annually	Gives a view and informs the governing bodies of all consultations	None

Function	Local authority	Schools forum	DfE role
<p>the arrangements for paying top-up funding;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• arrangements for use of pupil referral units and the education of children otherwise than at school, in particular the places to be commissioned by the LA and schools and the arrangements for paying top-up funding;</li> <li>• arrangements for early years provision;</li> <li>• administration arrangements for the allocation of central government grants</li> </ul>			
Minimum funding guarantee (MFG)	Proposes any exclusions from MFG for application to DfE	Gives a view	Approval
<p>De-delegation for mainstream schools for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• contingencies</li> <li>• administration of free school meals</li> <li>• insurance</li> <li>• licences/subscriptions</li> <li>• staff costs – supply cover</li> <li>• support for minority ethnic</li> <li>• pupils/underachieving groups</li> <li>• behaviour support services</li> <li>• library and museum services</li> </ul>	Proposes	Primary and secondary school member representatives will decide for their phase. Middle schools are treated according to their deemed status	Will adjudicate where schools forum does not agree LA proposal

Function	Local authority	Schools forum	DfE role
Central spend on and the criteria for allocating funding from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• growth fund (to meet requirements for basic need including pre-opening and diseconomy of scale costs)</li> <li>• falling rolls fund for surplus places in good or outstanding schools where a population bulge is expected in 2-3 years</li> </ul>	Proposes	Decides	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal
Central spend on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• equal pay back-pay</li> <li>• places in independent schools for non-SEN pupils</li> <li>• early years expenditure</li> </ul>	Proposes	Decides	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal
Central spend on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• admissions</li> <li>• servicing of schools forum</li> </ul>	Proposes up to the value committed in 2014-15	Decides for each line	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal
Central spend on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• capital expenditure funded from revenue</li> <li>• contribution to combined budgets</li> <li>• schools budget centrally funded termination of employment costs</li> </ul>	Proposes up to the value committed in 2014-15 and where expenditure has already been committed.	Decides for each line	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal

Function	Local authority	Schools forum	DfE role
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>schools budget funded prudential borrowing costs</li> <li>special education needs transport costs</li> </ul>			
Central spend on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>licences negotiated centrally by the Secretary of State</li> <li>children and young people with high needs</li> </ul>	Decides	None, but good practice to inform forum	None
Carry forward a deficit on central expenditure to the next year to be funded from the schools budget	Proposes	Decides	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal
Scheme of financial management changes	Proposes and consults the governing body and Head of every school	Approves (schools members only)	Adjudicates where schools forum does not agree LA proposal
Membership: length of office of members	Decides	None (but good practice would suggest that they gave a view)	None
Voting procedures	None	Determine voting procedures	None
Chair of schools forum	Facilitates	Elects ( <i>may not be an elected member of the Council or officer</i> )	None